

References

- Alibali, M. W. & Kita, S. (under review). On the role of gesture in thinking and speaking: Prohibiting gesture alters children's problem explanations.
- Alibali, M. W. & Nathan, M. J. (2007a). Teachers' gestures as a means of scaffolding students' understanding: Evidence from an early algebra lesson. In R. Goldman, R. Pea, B. Barron, & S. J. Derry (Eds.), *Video Research in the Learning Sciences* (pp. 349-365). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Alibali, M. W. & Nathan, M. J. (2007b, April). Embodiment in mathematics teaching and learning: A view from students' and teachers' gestures. In R. Nemirovsky and R. Hall (Co-chairs), *Mathematics learning and embodied cognition*. Symposium presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, Illinois.
- Barsalou, L. W. (2003). Situated simulation in the human conceptual system. *Language and Cognitive Processes, 18*, 513-562.
- Barsalou, L. (in press). Grounded Cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology, 59*.
- Brown, J. S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher, 18* (1), 32-41.
- Carpenter, T.P., & Moser, J.M. (1984). The acquisition of addition and subtraction concepts in grades one through three. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 15*, 179—202.
- Christensen, P. (2003). Place, space and knowledge: Children in the village and the city. In P. Christensen & M. O'Brien (Eds.), *Children in the city: Home, neighbourhood and community* (pp. 13-28). London: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Clark, H. H. (1996). *Using language*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Cobb, P., Confrey, J., diSessa, A., Lehrer, R., & Schauble, L. (2003). Design experiments in education research. *Educational Researcher, 32* (1), 9-13.
- De Corte, E., & Verschaffel, L. (1987). The effect of semantic structure on first graders' strategies for solving addition and subtraction word problems. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 18* (5), 363-381.
- English, L. (2002). *Handbook of international research in mathematics education*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Enyedy, N. & Mukhopadhyay, S. (2007). They don't show nothing I didn't know: Emergent tensions between culturally relevant pedagogy and mathematics pedagogy. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 16*(2), 139-174.
- Farne, A., & Ladavas, E. (2002). Auditory Peripersonal Space in Humans. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 14*(7), 1030 - 1043.
- Danziger, K. (1990). *Constructing the subject: Historical origins of psychological research*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Edelson, D. E. (2007). Environmental science for all? Considering environmental science for inclusion in the high school core curriculum. *Science Education, 16*(1), 42-56.
- Gallese, V. & Lakoff, G. (2005). The brain's concepts: The role of the sensory-motor system in conceptual knowledge. *Cognitive Neuropsychology, 22*,(3/4), 455-479.

- Gentner, D. & Grudin, J. (1985). The evolution of mental metaphors in psychology: A 90-year retrospective. *American Psychologist*, 40, 181–192.
- Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. New York: Houghton Mifflin.
- Glenberg, A. M. (1997). What memory is for. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 20, 1-19.
- Glenberg, A. M., (in press). Activity and imagined activity can enhance young children's reading comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(3).
- Glenberg, A. M., Jaworski, B. K., Rischal, M., & Levin, J. R. (2006). What brains are for: Action, meaning, and reading comprehension. In D. McNamara (Ed.), *Reading comprehension strategies: Theories, interventions, and technologies*, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Glenberg, A. M., & Kaschak, M. P. (2002). Grounding language in action. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 9, 558-565
- Goodwin, C. (1994). Professional vision. *American Anthropologist*, 96(3), 606-633.
- Hall, R. (2001). Schedules of practical work for the analysis of case studies of learning and development. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 10(1&2), 203-222. Special issue on "Methodologies for capturing learner practices occurring as part of dynamic learning environments," S. Barab and D. Kirshner (Eds.).
- Hall, R. (2007, July). Strategies for video recording: Fast, cheap, and (mostly) in control. In S. Derry (Ed.), *Guidelines for Video Research in Education: Recommendations from an Expert Panel* (pp. 4-14). NSF White Paper, available from Data Research and Development Center (<http://drdc.uchicago.edu/what/video-research-guidelines.pdf>).
- Hall, R. & Leander, K. (2007). Children's learning across space-time paths. Working paper, Department of Teaching and Learning, Vanderbilt University.
- Hall, R., Wieckert, K. & Wright, K. (2008). How does cognition get distributed? Case studies of making concepts general in technical and scientific work. In M. Banich & D. Caccamise (Eds.) *Generalization of knowledge: Multidisciplinary perspectives*. New York: Psychology Press.
- Hall, R., Wright, K. & Wieckert, K. (2007). Interactive and historical processes of distributing statistical concepts through work organization. *Mind, Culture, and Activity*, 14(1&2), 103-127.
- Hostetter, A. B. & Alibali, M. W. (in press). Visible embodiment: Gestures as simulated action. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*.
- Hutchins, E. (1995). *Cognition in the wild*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Kastens, K. A. & Liben, L. S. (2007). Eliciting self-explanations improves children's performance on a field-based map skills task. *Cognition & Instruction*, 25(1), 45-74.
- Kastens, K.A., Turrin, M., (2006), To What Extent Should Human/Environment Interactions Be Included in Science Education?, *Journal of Geoscience Education*, v. 54, p. 422-436
- Kintsch, W., & Greeno, J. G. (1985). Understanding and solving word arithmetic problems. *Psychological Review*, 92 (1), 109-129.
- Làdavas, E. (2002). Functional and dynamic properties of visual peripersonal space. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 6(1), 17-22.
- Làdavas, E., Di Pellegrino, G., Farne, A., & Zeloni, G. (1998). Neuropsychological evidence of an integrated visuotactile representation of peripersonal space in humans. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience*, 10, 581-589.

- Ladson-Billings, G (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Education Research Journal*, 35, 465-491.
- Lakoff, G. & Nunez, R. E. (2000). *Where Mathematics Comes From: How the Embodied Mind Brings Mathematics into Being*. Basic Books.
- Lave, J. (1988). *Cognition in practice: Mind, mathematics and culture in everyday life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Latour, B. (1987). *Science in action: How to follow scientists and engineers through society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- Lave, J. and Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated Learning. Legitimate peripheral participation*, Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press.
- Leander, K. M. (in press). Toward a connective ethnography of online/offline literacy networks. In D. Leu, J. Cairo, M. Knobel, & C. Lankshear (Eds.). *Handbook of research on new literacies*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Leander, K. M., & McKim, K. (2003). Tracing the everyday "sittings" of adolescents on the Internet: A strategic adaptation of ethnography across online and offline spaces. *Education, Communication, & Information*, 3, 211-240.
- Leary, D. E. (1994). *Metaphors in the history of psychology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Lehrer, R., Jacobson, C., Kemeny, V., & Strom, D. (1999). Building on children's intuitions to develop mathematical understanding of space. In E. Fennema & T. A. Romberg (Eds.), *Mathematics classrooms that promote understanding* (pp. 63-87). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Levine, P. & Scollon, R. (2004). *Discourse and technology: Multimodal discourse analysis*. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press.
- Liben, L.S. (2001). Thinking through maps. In M. Gattis (Ed.), *Spatial schemas and abstract thought* (pp. 45-77). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Liben, L. S., Kastens, K. A., & Stevenson, L. M. (2002). Real world knowledge through real-world maps: A developmental guide for navigating the educational terrain. *Developmental Review*, 22, 267-322.
- Maravita, A., & Iriki, A. (2004). Tools for the body (schema). *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 8(2), 79-86.
- Miller, H. (2007). Place-based versus people-based geographic information science. *Geography Compass*, 1/3, 503-535.
- Moses, R. & Cobb, C. E. (2001). *Radical equations: Civil rights from Mississippi to The Algebra Project*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Nathan, M. J. & Alibali, M. W. (2007, June). Giving a hand to the mind: Gesture enables intersubjectivity in classrooms. In M. W. Alibali & M. J. Nathan (Co-chairs), *Mechanisms by which gestures contribute to establishing common ground: Evidence from teaching and learning*. Symposium presented at the biennial meeting of the International Society for Gesture Studies, Evanston, Illinois.
- Nathan, M. J., Eilam, B. & Kim, Suyeon (2007). To disagree, we must also agree: How intersubjectivity structures and perpetuates discourse in a mathematics classroom. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 16(4), 525-565.
- National Research Council Committee on Support for Thinking Spatially. (2006). *Learning to think spatially*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

- Nemirovsky, R. (1994). On Ways of Symbolizing: The Case of Laura and Velocity Sign. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 13, 389-422.
- Nemirovsky, R. (1996). Mathematical Narratives. In N. Bednarz, C. Kieran & L. Lee (Eds.), *Approaches to algebra: Perspectives for research and teaching* (pp. 197-223). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Nemirovsky, R. (2005). Mathematical Places. In R. Nemirovsky, B. Warren, A. Rosebery & J. Solomon (Eds.), *Everyday Matters in Science and Mathematics* (pp. 45-94). Mahwah: Erlbaum.
- Nemirovsky, R., Barros, A., Noble, T., Schnepf, M., & Solomon, J. (2005). Learning mathematics in high school: Symbolic places and family resemblances. In T. A. Romberg & T. P. Carpenter (Eds.), *Understanding mathematics and science matters* (pp. 185-206). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Nemirovsky, R., & Monk, S. (2000). "If you look at it the other way...:" An exploration into the nature of symbolizing. In P. Cobb, E. Yackel & K. McClain (Eds.), *Symbolizing and communicating in mathematics classrooms: Perspectives on discourse, tools, and instructional design* (pp. 177-221). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Nemirovsky, R., & Noble, T. (1997). Mathematical visualization and the place where we live. *Educational Studies of Mathematics*, 33(2), 99-131.
- Nemirovsky, R., Tierney, C., & Wright, T. (1998). Body Motion and Graphing. *Cognition and Instruction*, 16(2), 119-172.
- Nemirovsky, R., & Tinker, R. (1993). Exploring chaos: A case study. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 12, 47-57.
- Noble, T., DiMattia, C., Nemirovsky, R., & Barros, A. (2006). Making A Circle: Tools, Representations, and the Spaces Where We Live. *Cognition and Instruction*, 24(4), 387-437.
- Noble, T., Nemirovsky, R., Cara, D., & Wright, T. (2004). On learning to see. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning*, 9(2), 109-167.
- Noble, T., Nemirovsky, R., Wright, T., & Tierney, C. (2001). Experiencing Change: the Mathematics of Change in Multiple Environments. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 32(1), 85-108.
- Ochs, E., Jacoby, S., & Gonzales, P. (1994). Interpretive journeys: How physicists talk and travel through graphic space. *Configurations*, 1, 151-171.
- Rasmussen, C., Nemirovsky, R., Olszewski, J., Dost, K., & Johnson, J. (2004). On forms of knowing: The role of bodily activity and tools in mathematical learning. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 57(3), [videopaper]
- Rosebery, A. Warren, B., Ballenger, C. and Ogonowski, M. (2005) The generative potential of students' everyday knowledge in learning science. In T. Romberg, T. Carpenter and F. Dremock (Eds.) *Understanding mathematics and science matters*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Sacks, O., & Wasserman, R. (1987). The case of the colorblind painter. *The New York Review of Books*, 34, 25-33.
- Schofield, J. W., & Evans-Rhodes, D. (1989). The impact of a computer based tutor on teachers and students. In D. Bierman, J. Breuker, & J. Sandberg (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence and Education: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on AI and Education*. Springfield, VA: IOS.
- Sowell, E. (1989). Effects of manipulative materials in mathematics instruction. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 20, 498-505.

- Stevens, R. & Hall, R. (1998). Disciplined perception: Learning to see in technoscience, Talking mathematics in school: Studies of teaching and learning, pp. 107-149. M. Lampert & M. L. Blunk, (Eds.), Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Stokes, D. (1997). *Pasteur's Quadrant: Basic Science and Technological Innovation*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Thelen, E. & Smith, L. B. (1994). *A dynamic systems approach to the development of cognition and action*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Tomasello, M. & Carpenter, M. (2007). Shared intentionality *Developmental Science* 10(1), pp 121–125.
- Vaishnavi, S., Calhoun, J., & Chatterjee, A. (2001). Binding personal and peripersonal space: Evidence from tactile extinction. *Journal of cognitive science*, 13(2), 181-189.
- Valenzeno, L., Alibali, M. W., & Klatzky, R. L. (2003). Teachers' gestures facilitate students' learning: A lesson in symmetry. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 28, 187-204.
- Zeki, S. (1993). *A vision for the brain*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Zwaan, R. A. & Taylor, L. J. (2006). Seeing, acting, understanding: Motor resonance in language comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 135, 1-11.