The classification of the monocot family Tecophilaeaceae has been variable. Pollen ultrastructural studies using SEM and TEM were made in an attempt to resolve taxonomic problems. Six genera of the Tecophilaeaceae: Conanthera, Cyanella, Odontostomum, Tecophilaea, Walleria, and Zephyra, are palynologically similar in having monosulcate, heteropolar, generally foveolate (rugulate in one genus) pollen grains with a tectate-columellate exine architecture and an operculate aperture. The operculum, which is hypothesized to be a shared derived character for these six genera, consists of a band of tectate-columellate exine positioned median and parallel to the aperture. An electron-dense, apparently endexinous layer occurs inner to the ektexine both in the operculum and along the aperture periphery. Walleria differs among these taxa in that the operculum consists of a band of granular ektexine atop the endexinous basal layer. The genus Cyanastrum, sometimes classified in the Tecophilaeaceae, has monosulcate, heteropolar, rugulate, tectate-columellate pollen grains which, however, lack an operculum. Thus, the present study tends to support the classification of Cyanastrum in a separate, monotypic family, the Cyanastraceae. Eriospermum, a genus sometimes placed in or suggested to have close affinities with the Tecophilaeaceae (particularly with Walleria), has pollen which is monosulcate, heteropolar, foveolate to reticulate with a tectate-columellate exine. However, grains of Eriospermum lack an operculum and an endexinous basal layer, providing no supporting evidence for the close relationship of Eriospermum to the Tecophilaeaceae. The monosulcate aperture type and tectate-columellate exine architecture present in all investigated taxa are hypothesized, by outgroup comparison, to be ancestral features, which are of no value in grouping monophyletic taxa. Previous classifications of the Tecophilaeaceae as a tribe (Conantherae) of the family Haemodoraceae are refuted based on comparative studies.
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family by Hutchinson. *Cyanastrum* was raised to familial rank (Cyanastraceae) by Engler (1930), Cronquist (1981), and Dahlgren & Clifford (1982); the segregation of *Cyanastrum* to familial status has been based primarily on its aberrant possession of broad, cordate leaves and perispermous seeds. The three genera of the Tecophilaeaceae which have been investigated embryologically, *Cyanastrum*, *Cyanella*, and *Odontostomum*, are identical with respect to tapetal type, microsporogenesis, and ovular parietal cell development (Cave 1952, Fries 1919, Nietsch 1941, de Vos 1950), thus tending to support the classification of *Cyanastrum* within the family (see de Vos 1961). Additionally, the genus *Lanaria*, which has most often been classified in the Haemodoraceae, is embryologically similar to these three genera of the Tecophilaeaceae (de Vos 1961, 1963), prompting some authors (e.g., de Vos 1961, Willis 1973, Dahlgren & Clifford 1982) to suggest a close affinity between *Lanaria* and members of the Tecophilaeaceae, a suggestion refuted, however, by Simpson (1983a).

Light microscope studies of pollen morphology have been made on most genera of the Tecophilaeaceae. Radulescu (1973) described the pollen of *Cyanella* as having a reticulate to foveolate exine appearing pilate-tegillate in optical cross-section. Erdtman (1966) reported the pollen morphology of *Conanthera*, *Cyanella*, *Tecophilaea*, and *Zephrya* as monosulcate, operculate, small to medium sized (17.5–40 μm), often biconvex, with a thin exine and "sexine about as thick as nexine". Erdtman stated that the classification of these genera as the family Tecophilaeaceae is supported by palynological evidence. The single species of *Cyanastrum* examined by Erdtman was described as having grains "1-sulcate (24×42×33 μm), occasionally trichotomosulcate, tênuiexinous, sexine about as thick as nexine or slightly thicker, baculate, subreticulate". Erdtman recognized *Cyanastrum* as a member of the Cyanastraceae (after Engler 1930), and argued that *Cyanastrum* is palynologically more similar to the tribe *Philydraceae* (syn. *Pritzellia*) of the Philydraceae and to *Stemona* of the Stemonaceae.

The purpose of the present study is to assess the palynological similarities and differences of the Tecophilaeaceae (sensu Hutchinson 1973) and of *Eriospermum* using scanning and transmission electron microscopy plus limited brightfield and fluorescence microscope observations. Specific questions considered are: 1) What pollen ultrastructural features characterize the Tecophilaeaceae? 2) What is the ultrastructural nature of the "operculum" of family members? 3) Is the taxonomic placement of *Cyanastrum*, *Odontostomum*, *Walleria*, and *Eriospermum* in the Tecophilaeaceae supported by palynological evidence? 4) Does wall architecture support the segregation of the Tecophilaeaceae as a distinct family versus its treatment as the tribe *Conantheraceae* of the Haemodoraceae or Amaryllidaceae?

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Pollen samples were obtained either from herbarium sheets ("DRIED") or were fixed in formalin/acetic acid/alcohol ("FAA"). Dried anthers were re-hydrated in Aerolosol OT for 1–4 days, followed by several water rinses. The following eight genera and fourteen species were examined (parentheses indicate herbaria where vouchers are deposited): *Conanthera trimuculata* D. Don. "DRIED"—C. Grandjot (MO), *C. bifolia Ruiz & Pav." DRIED"—E. P. Killip & E. Pisano 39690 (US), *Cyanastrum cordifolium* Oliv. "FAA"—J. R. Bowden 141 (K) (Spirt collection no. 33890); *Cyanella alba* L.f. "FAA"—R. Ornduff 7463 (UC), *C. lutea* L.f. var. _lutea" FAA"—R. Ornduff 7565 (UC), *C. hyacinthoides* L. "FAA"—R. Ornduff 7501 (UC), *C. orchidiformis* Jacq. "FAA"—S. R. Crispin 628 (MSC); *Eriospermum abyssinicum* Bak. "DRIED"—R. Seydel 4158 (US), *E. natalense* Bak. "DRIED"—E. Wedermann & H.-D. Oberdieck 1303 (US), *Odontostomum hastwegii* Torr. "FAA"—UCBG 53.845, *Tecophilaea violacea* Bertol ex Colla. "DRIED"—O. Buchh. 10 Sept. 1895 (US); *Walleria mackenzii* D. Don. "FAA"—J. Buchanan 1891 (US), *W. muricata* N. E. Br. "DRIED"—N. C. Chase 5182 (MO); *Zephyra elegans* D. Don. "DRIED"—E. Werdermann 776 (US).

For TEM studies whole, dehisced anthers containing mature pollen were placed in a modified capsule between two 5 μm Nucleopore filters. Anthers or free pollen were progressively dehydrated to 100% ethanol, then infiltrated with 100% Freon 113 (intermediate fluid). The material was critical-point dried in a BOMAR SPC 900/EX drier using CO₂ as the transition fluid. Pollen grains were tapped onto a stub covered by double-stick Scotch tape, sputter coated (ca. 200 A thickness) with gold-palladium (60/40), and viewed with a JEOL T20 SEM.

For TEM analysis pollen samples were fixed in 4.2% buffered gluteraldehyde for 2 hours, rinsed several times in 0.1 M Sorensen's phosphate buffer, and post-fixed in 2% OsO₄ for 1 hour. After two rapid water rinses and progressive dehydration to 100% ethanol, the material was infiltrated in a series of increasing concentrations of Spurr's resin (Spurr 1969). Fully infiltrated grains were placed in an obconical BEEM capsule and polymerized 8–12 hours in a 65 C oven. Sections ca 500–800 A thick were prepared using a Dupont diamond knife on a Cambridge-Huxley ultramicrotome, and mounted on uncoated 200 mesh copper grids. Preparations were post-stained...
with uranyl acetate (saturated in 95% ethanol, 15 minutes) and lead citrate (0.2% aq., 7 minutes) and viewed with a Siemens Elmiskop 101 TEM.

For cytochemical tests of *Cyanella lutea*, unacetolyzed, FAA-fixed pollen grains were stained, mounted whole in glycerin jelly, and photographed in optical cross-section with brightfield or UV fluorescence microscopy, using a Leitz Labolux compound microscope (see Kress & Stone 1982, for a review of pollen cytochemistry methods). Pectic-rich intine was detected by positive staining with alcian blue (1% in 3% acetic acid, 5 minutes). Intine containing polysaccharides with 1,2-glycol groups (presumed to be predominantly cellulosic) was identified by red staining using the periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) reagent (after Jensen 1962). Ektexine was identified by bright red staining with basic fuchsin (1% in 95% ethanol, 5 minutes) and by bright yellow fluorescence with auramine 0 (0.01% in 0.05 M tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.2, 5 minutes). Fluorescence illumination was achieved with an Osram HBO 200 watt super pressure Hg lamp; filters used were a BG-38 heat filter, UG-I UV excitation filter, BG-23 red suppression filter, and a blue or UV barrier filter.

Mean maximum pollen lengths were measured from fixed or rehydrated grains mounted in 70% ethanol. Pollen terminology follows that of Walker & Doyle (1975).

RESULTS

POLLEN ULTRASTRUCTURE

*Conanthera* (2 of 5 species examined)

*C. trimaculata*—Grains 17 μm, monosulcate, heteropolar (Fig. 1 A). Aperture with a prominent median, exinous operculum. Sculpturing of exine (including operculum) foveolate, that of aperture membrane verrucose (Fig. 1 A). Non-apertural intine relatively thin (Fig. 1 D). Apertural intine thick and 2-layered (Fig. 1 B), the outer layer having radially oriented channels or vesicles (Fig. 1 C), the inner layer continuous with the non-apertural intine (Fig. 1 B). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate (tectate-perforate), apparently ektexinous, with a continuous foot-layer (Fig. 1 D). Operculum consisting of a band of tectate-columellate ektexine having a thin foot-layer, atop an electron-dense, exinous basal layer (Fig. 1 C, E). Exine of aperture membrane composed of verrucose to clavate ektexinous elements atop a thin, electron-dense, apparently exinous basal layer (Fig. 1 C).

*C. bifolia*—Grains 17 μm, monosulcate, heteropolar, with a prominent exinous operculum (Fig. 1 F). Non-apertural and opercular surface foveolate, aperture membrane verrucose (Fig. 1 G). Non-apertural intine thin (Fig. 1 I). Apertural intine thick (thinner directly beneath operculum), 2-layered, with radially oriented channels in the thick outer layer (Fig. 1 H). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate (tectate-perforate) with a homogeneous and continuous foot-layer, columellae, and tectum (Fig. 11). Exine of aperture, including operculum, similar to that of *C. trimaculata*, with apparent outer ektexinous layer and inner, electron-dense exinous basal layer (Fig. 1 H).

*Cyanella* (4 of 8 species examined)

*C. lutea* var. *lutea*—Grains 37μm, monosulcate, heteropolar with operculate apertures (Fig. 2 A). Exine sculpturing (including operculum) foveolate, that of aperture membrane psilate to gummate (Fig. 2 B). Non-apertural intine thin, obscurely 2-layered (Fig. 2 D). Apertural intine 2-layered and thick, particularly the inner intine layer; outer apertural intine with thin, sinuous channel-like structures (Fig. 2 E, F). Intine beneath operculum thin, forming a cavity (Fig. 2 C). Non-apertural exine tectate-

**Fig. 1. Conanthera, A-E: C. trimaculata. (A) Pollen grain, transverse equatorial view, showing aperture (a) with operculum (o). SEM ×4 300. (B) Whole grain, sectioned along polar axis. Note operculum (o) and apertural intine (i). TEM ×4 500. (C) Aperture region at periphery of operculum. Note ektexine (ek), endexinous basal layer (en), and 2-layered intine (i) with channel-like vesicles (arrow). TEM ×2 7000. (D) Non-apertural region, showing thin intine (i) and tectate-columellate exine (e). TEM ×2 40000. (E) Operculum wall, showing tectate-columellate ektexine (ek) and apparent endexinous basal layer (en). TEM ×2 60000. F-I: C. bifolia. (F) Whole grain, polar view, aperture facing. SEM ×3 800. (G) Close-up of aperture with operculum (o). TEM ×8 4000. (H) Apertural region, showing operculum atop 2-layered intine (i), with prominent channel-like vesicles in outer intine layer. Note, peripheral to operculum, exine composed of outer ektexine (ek) and an inner endexinous basal layer (en). TEM ×12 000. (I) Non-apertural, tectate-columellate exine atop fibrillar intine. TEM ×36 000.

**Fig. 2. Cyanella, A-F: C. lutea var. lutea. (A) Whole grains, aperture facing. SEM ×2 000. (B) Close-up of wall, showing operculum (o). SEM ×3 300. (C) Cross-section of grain. Note thick, 2-layered intine (i) and operculum (o) of aperture (a). TEM ×2 900. (D) Non-apertural wall, showing thin intine (i) and tectate-columellate exine (e). TEM ×1 4000. (E) Periphery of apertural wall. Note ektexine (ek), basal endexinous layer (en) at edge of aperture, and thick 2-layered intine (i) with channel-like vesicles in outer wall (arrow). TEM ×9 200. (F) Operculum, showing 2-layered intine and exine composed of a tectate-columellate ektexine (ek) and an inner, electron-dense basal layer of endexine (en). TEM ×7 300. (G) *C. hyacinthoides*. Pollen grain, showing apertural operculum. SEM ×4 300. (H) *C. orchidiformis*. Pollen grain. Note prominent apertural operculum. SEM ×3 300.
Fig. 2.
Fig. 3. Cyanella alba. (A) Whole grain, aperture (a) above. SEM ×1800. (B) Close-up, showing foveolate non-apertural exine (left) and non-operculate aperture (right). SEM ×3300. (C) Whole grain cross-section, aperture region above. TEM ×1600. (D) Non-apertural region, showing intine (i) and tectate-columellate exine (e). TEM ×15000. (E) Wall at edge of aperture. Note intine (i), ektexine (ek), and apparent endexinous basal layer (en). TEM ×20000. (F) Aperture region. Note thick, 2-layered intine (i). TEM ×4100. (G) Close-up of aperture, showing channel-like vesicles of outer intine (arrow) and thin layer of exine (e). TEM ×19000.

columellate (tectate-perforate) (Fig. 2D). Operculum composed of an outer median band of tectate-columellate exine (Fig. 2C). Exine along periphery of aperture and operculum intectate (Fig. 2C, E, F), the upright columellae comprising the gemmate sculpturing. A thin layer of electron-dense, endexine present beneath ektexine at periphery of aperture membrane (Fig. 2E) and operculum (Fig. 2F).

C. hyacinthoides.—Grains 19 μm, monosulcate, heteropolar, with a median, rather irregular apertural operculum (Fig. 2G). Sculpturing of non-apertural exine surface foveolate, that of operculum...
Pollen ultrastructure of Tecophilaeaceae

Fig. 4. Odontostomum hartwegii. (A) Whole grain. Note ridged operculum. SEM ×4000. (B) Grain in cross-section, showing operculum (o). TEM ×3200. (C) Interface of non-apertural (left) and apertural regions, showing 2-layered apertural intine with channel-like vesicles in outer wall (arrow). Note reduction of both foot-layer and columellae of ektexine (ek), replaced by electron-dense endexinous basal layer (en). TEM ×11000. (D) Operculum cross-section. Note 2-layered intine (i), tectate-columellate ektexine (ek) and endexine (en). TEM ×12000. (E) Cross-section, non-apertural region, showing thin, 2-layered intine and tectate-columellate exine. TEM ×31000.

foveolate to granular; apertural membrane surrounding operculum gemmate to verrucose (Fig. 2G). TEM observations not made.

C. orchidiformis.—Grains 23 μm, monosulcate, heteropolar, with a wide and prominent operculum (Fig. 2H). Non-apertural exine foveolate. Operculum psilate, pitted with micropores; aperture membrane flanking operculum with numerous gemmate exine elements (Fig. 2H). TEM observations not made.

C. alba.—Grains 42 μm, monosulcate, heteropolar; operculum absent (Fig. 3 A, C, F). Non-apertural surface foveolate, that of aperture membrane psilate to scabrate (Fig. 3 B). Non-apertural intine thin (Fig. 3 C, D). Apertural intine thick and 2-layered, with channel-like vesicles in the outer intine layer (Fig. 3 G); inner intine layer of aperture region continuous with non-apertural intine (Fig. 3 F). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate (tectate-perforate) (Fig. 3 C, D). Exine at edge of aperture with reduced foot-layer and columellae, replaced by an electron-dense, apparently endexinous basal layer (Fig. 3 E). Apertural exine composed of a thin, continuous outer layer (Fig. 3 G).

Odontostomum (monotypic)

O. hartwegii.—Grains 26 μm, monosulcate, heteropolar, with a narrow, ridged operculum (Fig. 4 A);
Fig. 5. A-G: *Tecophilaeo violaeflora*. (A) Whole grain, aperture facing. SEM ×2900. (B) Close-up, showing rugose non-apertural exine and operculum (o). SEM ×6400. (C) Grain in cross-section. Note aperture (a) and operculum (o). TEM ×2500. (D) Aperture wall periphery. Note intine (i), endexinous basal layer (en), and outer ektexinous elements (ek). TEM ×9900. (E) Operculum, showing intine, tectate-columellate ektexine (ek), and endexine (en). TEM ×12000. (F) Close-up of edge of operculum, showing outer ektexine (ek) and inner electron-dense, lamellated endexine (en). TEM ×41000. (G) Tectate-columellate exine and thin intine of non-apertural region.
TEM ×23000. H-L: Zephyra elegans. (H) Whole grain, aperture facing; note operculum. SEM ×3700. (I) Close-up of aperture wall surrounding operculum. SEM ×5400. (J) Non-apertural region, showing fibrillar intine (i) and tectate-columellate exine (e). TEM ×20000. (K) Grain in cross-section, showing operculum (o). TEM ×2000. (L) Apertural region. Note thick, 2-layered intine (i), operculum (o), ekxtine (ek), and thin, scanty basal layer of endexine (en). TEM ×9900.

Fig. 6. Walleria. A-F: W. muricata. (A) Whole grain, aperture facing. Note thin, verrucose, median operculum (o). SEM ×39000. (B) Close-up. Note operculum (o). SEM ×7600. (C) Grain in cross-section, showing thick, 2-layered intine of aperture (a) and thin operculum (o). TEM ×3300. (D) Apertural region. Note exinous operculum (o) and 2-layered intine (i) with channel-like vesicles (arrow) in outer intine layer. TEM ×18000. (E) Close-up of operculum. Note outer verrucose ekxtinous elements (ek) atop thin, basal endexine (en). TEM ×17000. (F) Non-apertural wall, with tectate-columellate exine. TEM ×16000. G-J: W. mackenzii. (G) Close-up of pollen grain, with collapsed aperture. Note ridged operculum (o). SEM ×4800. (H) Non-apertural, tectate-columellate exine. TEM ×19000. (I) Interface between non-apertural region (left) and aperture wall, showing operculum (o) and thick intine with channel-like vesicles (arrow). Note ekxtine (ek) and electron-dense, endexinous basal layer (en). TEM ×20300. (J) Cross-section of whole, collapsed grain. Note aperture and operculum. TEM ×2900.
sculpturing of non-apertural exine and operculum foveolate (Fig. 4A). Non-apertural intine thin, fibrillar, 2-layered (Fig. 4B, E). Apertural intine relatively thick and 2-layered; sinuous channel-like structures present in the thicker, outer intine layer (Fig. 4C, D). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate with a homogeneous foot-layer (Fig. 4B, E). Opercular ektextine tectate-columellate with an
electron-dense endexinous basal layer inner to the foot-layer (Fig. 4B, D). Exine along periphery of aperture lacking an ektexinous foot-layer, having an electron-dense apparently endexinous layer (Fig. 4C). Fibrillar deposit apparent in the lower tectal cavities of both non-apertural and opercular exine walls (Figs. 4D, E).

_Tecophilaeae_ (1 of 2 species examined)

_T. violaeflora._—Grains 26 µm, monosulcate, heteropolar, operculate (Fig. 5A, C). Sculpturing of non-apertural and opercular exine somewhat rugulose, that of aperture bordering the operculum verrucose (Fig. 5B). Non-apertural intine thin, fibrillar (Fig. 5G). Intine of apertural wall relatively thick and faintly 2-layered (Figs. 5C, D). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate; foot-layer homogeneous (Fig. 5G). Opercular exine tectate-columellate, with a thin, electron-dense, often lamellated endexine beneath the ektexinous foot-layer (Figs. 5E, F).

_Zephyra_ (monotypic)

_Z. elegans._—Grains 22 µm, monosulcate, heteropolar, and operculate (Fig. 5H, K). Sculpturing of non-apertural exine foveolate to rugulose, that of the operculum striate, and that of the aperture membrane surrounding operculum verrucose (Fig. 5I). Non-apertural intine thin (Fig. 5J). Apertural intine thick, 2-layered (Fig. 5K, L). Exine of non-apertural region tectate-columellate, with a thick, continuous foot-layer (Fig. 5J). Opercular exine tectate-columellate, with a thin ektexinous foot-layer and a very thin electron-dense layer of endexine (Fig. 5L). Apertural exine surrounding operculum composed of a thin layer of electron-dense endexine beneath scattered ektexinous verrucose elements (Fig. 5K, L).

_Walleria_ (2 of ca. 3 species examined)

_W. mackinzii._—Grains 28 µm, monosulcate, heteropolar, with a finely foveolate non-apertural surface and an apparent apertural operculum composed of a thin, median, verrucose ridge (Fig. 6G, J). Non-apertural intine thin, fibrillar (Fig. 6H). Apertural intine thick, containing radially oriented, channel-like vesicles throughout (Fig. 6I). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate with a perforate tectum and a continuous foot-layer (Fig. 6H). Exine along periphery of aperture lacking a foot-layer, the latter replaced by a prominent, electron-dense, apparently endexinous basal layer (Fig. 6I). Apparent operculum composed of verrucose ektexinous elements atop a thin, electron-dense endexinous layer, resembling the basal layer along the aperture periphery (Fig. 6I).

_Cyanastrum_ (1 of 6 species examined)

_C. cordifolium._—Grains 35 µm, monosulcate, heteropolar (Fig. 7A). Non-apertural sculpturing somewhat rugulose, aperture membrane with numerous scattered verrucose exine elements (Fig. 7B, F). Operculum absent. Intine of non-apertural region thin (Fig. 7E), becoming thick and 3-layered in apertural region (Fig. 7D), the innermost layer loosely fibrillar and probably artifactual. Outer intine layer fibrillar, osmiophilic, with some channels (Fig. 7C, D, F). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate, with a perforate tectum and a rather thick, continuous foot-layer (Fig. 7E). Exine at aperture periphery with a reduced foot-layer (Fig. 7D). Apertural exine composed of verrucose to tectate-columellate elements with foot-layer absent (Fig. 7D, F). Endexine absent or possibly extremely thin (Fig. 7D, F).

_Eriospertnirm_ (2 of ca. 80 species examined)

_E. abyssinicum._—Grains 42 µm, monosulcate, heteropolar (Fig. 8A). Exine foveolate to reticulate; aperture membrane somewhat scabrate in material examined (Fig. 8A, B). Non-apertural intine thick (Fig. 8E). Apertural intine thick, 2-layered; channels not evident (Fig. 8D). Non-apertural exine tectate-columellate, with a rather continuous foot-layer (Fig. 8E). Apertural exine lacking (Fig. 8C, D); endexinous layer at aperture periphery absent (Fig. 8C).

_E. natalense._—Grains 39 µm monosulcate, heteropolar (Fig. 8F), with a foveolate to reticulate non-apertural exine and a somewhat scabrate apertural surface (Fig. 8G). Non-apertural intine thin.
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Fig. 9. Cyanella lirrea pollen grains in optical cross-section. (A) Alcian blue, brightfield microscopy. Note dense (dark blue) staining of outer apertural intine (arrows). (B) Auramine O, fluorescence microscopy. Note bright (yellow) fluorescence of exine, including operculum (o). ×1900.

DISCUSSION

All investigated taxa are palynologically similar in possessing monosulcate, heteropolar pollen grains with a tectate-columellate exine having continuous and homogeneous foot-layer, columellae, and tectum. However, the monosulcate aperture type, which is widespread among monocots, "primitive" dicots, and gymnosperms, is very probably ancestral within the angiosperms as a whole (see, e.g., Walker & Doyle 1975). A tectate-columellate exine wall architecture, similar to that in the Tecophilaeaceae, occurs in virtually all investigated families presumed to be closely related to the Tecophilaeaceae, including members of the Velloziaceae (Ayensu & Skvarla 1974), Hypoxidaceae, Liliaceae, Taccaceae, Philydraceae, and Pontederiaceae (Simpson 1983 b). It is likely, by outgroup comparison, that the tectate-columellate wall architecture is an ancestral feature for all these taxa possessing it. Thus, the similarities in aperture type and non-apertural exine architecture among all investigated taxa of the present study are probably primitive for the complex as a whole and can provide no infor-
mation as to the recognition of monophyletic taxa (sensu Henning 1966).

Of the investigated taxa, only six genera, Conoanthera, Cyanella (excepting C. alba, see below), Odontostomum, Tecophilaeae, Walleria, and Zephyra, possess an operculum. Thus, the present study confirms the observations of Erdtmann (1966) and provides new data for the genera Odontostomum and Walleria. The operculum of these six genera consists of an outer band of exine (generally resembling the nonapertural exine in sculpturing) situated parallel and median to the aperture. Based on TEM staining properties, the operculum appears to consist of an outer, usually tectate-columellate ektexine and an inner basal layer of more electron-dense endexine. The opercular ektexine usually resembles that of the non-apertural wall in sculptural features. Additionally, in all species of these six genera, the non-apertural exine, where it joins the aperture region, has a reduced foot-layer and columellae, which are replaced by a basal layer of electron-opaque endexine. Cytochemical tests of Cyanella lutea, using basic fuchsin and auramine O, indicate that the non-apertural exine and the bulk of the operculum is indeed ektexinous in composition. Thus, the structural distinctiveness of the operculum in these six genera lends support to the hypothesis that they are homologous and arose by a common evolutionary history. Furthermore, because such operculate apertures are not found in any taxa presumed to be closely related to the Tecophilaeaeae, it is proposed that the presence of this operculum constitutes a shared derived character (synapomorphy), providing evidence for the monophyletic classification of six family genera.

As reviewed in a previous paper (Simpson 1983a), the classification of the Tecophilaeaeae as the tribe Conanthereae of the Haemodoraceae is not supported based on evidence from pollen wall architecture. The occurrence of a tectate-columellate exine architecture in the Tecophilaeaeae distinguishes it from the fourteen genera of the Haemodoraceae which possess a 1- to 3-layered, nontectate-columellate exine architecture. Thus, the classifications of Bentham & Hooker (1883) and Melchior (1964), which treat the Conanthereae as a tribe of the Haemodoraceae, are refuted based on the present study and on embryological (de Vos 1961) and chemical (Harris & Hartley 1980) evidence. Lanaria and Lophiola, which Erdtmann (1966) cited as similar to members of the Tecophilaeaeae, are similar to that family (and aberrant in the Haemodoraceae; Simpson 1983a) in having a tectate-columellate exine wall architecture. As previously discussed, however, the tectate-columellate architecture is probably an ancestral feature for these taxa and provides no evidence regarding phylogenetic relationships. Because Lanaria and Lophiola differ from the Tecophilaeaeae in lacking an operculum, there is no pollen evidence supporting their classification in that family. Additionally, the operculum of the Tecophilaeaeae approximates a "pontoperculate" aperture type, one in which two sulcate apertures are oriented in the polar hemisphere (e.g., as in the genus Pauridia; see Simpson 1983a). Both pontoperculate and operculate types consist of a median polar band of exinous wall material (similar to that of the non-apertural exine) which is flanked on both sides by a region of thick intine, essentially devoid of continuous exine. The operculate grains of the Tecophilaeaeae differ from the pontoperculate type essentially in that an operculum does not merge with the non-apertural exine at the equatorial "ends" of the pollen aperture. The similarities between operculate and pontoperculate aperture types may indicate the likelihood for one having been an intermediate stage to the evolution of the other; such a consideration may be fruitful in considering the interfamilial relationships of the Tecophilaeaeae.

The intine wall of the six operculate genera in the Tecophilaeaeae is 2-layered, as based on staining properties and fibrillar composition. One or both intine layers becomes greatly thickened in the apertural region, with radially oriented channels or vesicles occurring in the outer intine layer in almost all taxa. Interestingly, the apertural intine directly inner to the operculum is significantly thinner (sometimes forming a possibly artificial apertural cavity, e.g., in Cyanella lutea) than that surrounding the operculum. Cytochemical studies of Cyanella lutea indicate that the outer, channeled layer of apertural intine is rich in pectic compounds (alcan blue positive), and the inner, fibrillar intine layer is primarily cellulosic in composition (PAS positive; see Kress & Stone 1982), thus substantiating the general observation in monocot pollen grains of a 2-layered intine, consisting of an outer, pectic-rich "extintine" and an inner, cellulosic "endintine" (terminology after Kress & Stone 1982).

Of the four species of Cyanella investigated, only C. alba lacks an operculum. The apertural exine of
this species consists instead of a very thin, rather electron-dense, often discontinuous layer (or layers) atop the thick intine. However, *C. alba* is similar to the operculate family members in having a basal layer of electron-dense endexine at the junction of non-apertural and apertural regions. Further studies are needed to determine if the absence of an operculum in *C. alba* is (with reference to other species of *Cyanella* and other genera of the family) an ancestral or a derived feature. If the lack of an operculum is a derived feature, then an ancestor of *C. alba* presumably possessed an operculum which became evolutionarily reduced to a thin apertural exine layer. If the lack of an operculum is a primitive feature (and if the operculum of other family members had a common origin), then the monophyly (sensu Hennig 1966) of the genus *Cyanella* may be questionable.

Unlike the five genera of the Tecophilaeaceae with prominent opercula, the genus *Walleria* has an apertural membrane with an outer ridge of exinous deposits situated median and parallel to the aperture. This apertural exine is 2-layered, consisting of an inner, thin layer and an outer layer of verrucate to gemmate elements. In both examined species the inner, thin layer of apertural exine is clearly electron-dense and apparently endexinous. Endexine also was observed in *W. mackenzii* as a basal layer at the junction of nonapertural wall with aperture, resembling in all respects the other genera of the Tecophilaeaceae. Thus, based on structure and staining properties, the apertural exine of *Walleria* is probably homologous with the opercula of other family members. However, further research (developmental studies and formal phylogenetic analyses) is needed to confirm the homology between the operculum of *Walleria* and that of other family members and, if confirmed, to assess whether the “operculum” of *Walleria* is an ancestral (intermediate to a tectate-columellate operculate exine) or a derived (by reduction of operculate ektexine) feature.

The single species of *Cyanastrum* investigated differs from other members of the Tecophilaeaceae (except *Cyanella alba*) in lacking an operculum or a line of apertural exine (as in *Walleria*) which may be homologous to an operculum. The apertural exine of *Cyanastrum* is composed of groups of tectate-columellate deposits which are irregularly scattered on the aperture face. Because the presence of monosulcate grains and tectate-columellate exine structure are probably ancestral features (see above), the present palynological study provides no evidence for the inclusion of *Cyanasstrum* in the Tecophilaeaceae, sensu Hutchinson (1973). As cited earlier, Erdtman (1966) remarked that pollen of *Cyanasstrum* is more similar to that of *Philydrella* (Philydrellaceae) and *Stemona* (Stemonaceae) than to members of the Tecophilaeaceae. However, ultrastructural studies of the Philydrellaceae (Simpson 1983b) do not confirm any significant palynological similarities with *Cyanasstrum*. It seems best at this time to retain the designation of the monogeneric family Cyanasstraceae (sensu Engler 1930, Cronquist 1981, Dahlgren & Clifford 1982).

No evidence of any major palynological similarity is to be seen between *Eriospermum* and the six operculate members of the Tecophilaeaceae. Pollen grains of the two examined species of *Eriospermum* lack an operculum or, in fact, any apertural exine at all. In addition, no evidence of endexine, which occurs at the aperture periphery in all operculate genera of the Tecophilaeaceae, was observed in *Eriospermum*. Although *Eriospermum* pollen does resemble all other examined taxa in having a tectate-columellate exine wall and has a foveolate sculpturing similar to some operculate Tecophilaeaceae, these features are of wide occurrence among several monocot families of this general complex and are presumed to be ancestral (see above). Therefore, this palynological study provides no support for the classification of Takhtajan (1980), which grouped *Eriospermum* within the Tecophilaeaceae, nor for the suggestion by Dahlgren & Clifford (1982) of a "close" relationship between *Eriospermum* and *Walleria*.

In conclusion, the present pollen ultrastructural study supports the inclusion of six genera, *Conanthera*, *Cyanella*, *Odontostomum*, *Tecophilaea*, *Walleria*, and *Zephyra*, in the family Tecophilaeaceae, as suggested by Dahlgren & Clifford (1982). I hypothesize that the common possession of an operculate aperture, found in no other taxa presumed related to the complex (Erdtman 1966, Simpson 1983a, b, work in progress) constitutes a unique, shared derived character for these six genera. The absence of an operculum in *Cyanella alba* is hypothesized to be by reduction within the family. The inclusion of *Odontostomum* or *Walleria* in the Liliaceae (Bentham & Hooker 1883, Willis 1975) is refuted based on the occurrence of an operculum in these genera. The operculum of *Wal-
leria, although aberrant, is nevertheless viewed as homologous, based on structural and staining properties, to that of other family members. Pollen grains of the genus *Cyanastrium*, which show no indication of an operculum, provide support for the classification of that genus as a separate family, the Cyanastraceae (sensu Engler 1930, Cronquist 1981, Dahlgren & Clifford 1982). Finally, the absence of an operculum in pollen of *Eriospermum* tends to refute the classification of that genus in the Tecomataceae (sensu Takhtajan 1980) and provides no evidence for a close taxonomic relationship with *Walleria* (sensu Dahlgren & Clifford 1982).
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